Docket # HHD-CV-16-6070508- S

STATE OF CONNECTICUT EX REL. GEORGE JEPSEN,

SUPERIOR COURT

ATTORNEY GENERAL

V.

:

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF HARTFORD

:

ALPHAH EAST : MARCH 22, 2017

AFFIDAVIT OF THOMAS J. MARTIN

Thomas J. Martin, having been duly sworn, states as follows:

- 1. I am over eighteen years of age and understand the meaning of an oath.
- 2. I am an Investigator for the State of Connecticut Office of the Attorney General.
- As such, I collected the below listed documents related to the following case on file in the Connecticut Superior Court: State of Connecticut v. Alphah East, FST-CR10-0124859T.
 All documents are attached collectively as Exhibit A hereto.
 - a. Original Information form JD-CR-71
 - b. State of Connecticut, Division of Criminal Justice Report of Investigation
 - c. Form JD-CR-66 Order of Probation
 - d. Form JD-CR-38 Mittimus
 - e. Uniform Arrest Report
- 4. I obtained a Transcript of Plea Hearing dated March 22, 2016, which is attached as Exhibit B hereto.
- 5. I obtained a Transcript of Sentencing Hearing dated June 7, 2016, which is attached as Exhibit C hereto.

Thomas J. Martin

			$oldsymbol{\iota}$
STATE OF CONNECTICUT)	SS:	Hartford
COUNTY OF HARTFORD)	33,	Hartford
Subscribed and sworn to be 2017.	oefore me a	\overline{G}	day M. Becker
		C	Commissioner of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT A

to

AFFIDAVIT OF THOMAS J. MARTIN

JD-CR-71 LP REV. 7-05

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

SUPERIOR COURT

ORIGINAL INFORMATION: YES

COURT DATE: 01/12/2010

AT:

GA20 - NORWALK

DOCKET NO.: FST -CR10-0124859-T

The undersigned Prosecuting	Authority of the Superior Court of the State of
Connecticut charges that	

EAST ALPHAH H



|--|--|

69 ANNS FARM ROAD, HAMDEN, CT 00000

Did commit the offenses recited below:

Count: 1 BRIBE RECEIVING On or About: 01/11/2010

Type/Class: F/C At: NORWALK

In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-148*



STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT RECORDS CENTER

							·				B) TI	CERTIFIE	D COPY	Shill
SEE OTH		ETS . COUNTS	and The second	DATE		SIG	GNED (PROS	ECUTING AU	THORN	TY)		0	ddn	Wor
			-	<u> </u>			COUR	F ACTION	1					
DEFENDA	ANT ADV	ISED OF R	RIGHTS B	EFORE PLEA				BOND		SURETY		ELECTIO	ON	
(JUDGE)				·	(DA	TE)		\$7500		PS	CASH		URT	JURY
☐ ATTY.	☐ PUI	3. DEFEND	DER	GUARDIAN				REDUCT	ON	B.O.	APPEAL	ELECTIO	ON WITHDRAV	WN DATE SEIZED PROPERTY
COUNT NO.	PLE	A DATE	PLEA	PLEA WITH DATE	DRAWN NEW PLEA	VERDICT FINDING	FINE	J.	\IL		ADDIT	IONAL DISPOSITI	-)7498WW
1	03/05	5/10	NG	03/22/16	GY	Gy			<u>,</u>	i	es elsi		FLC	<u></u>
		and the d												
		T											ITINUANCI	=0
P los	.IE	Davida	- Malia		ER COURT A	JION			Α.	JUDG		DATE		REASON
6/7	16	Pardo	n Nouc	e Given					0	allert	1011)			
	· ·	VES.	5/TU	MUNIC.	IE BIS	2,200	18W	7				1.		
		MZIL	EA	ALT	ROAT	DAR	שטענ					2.		1
		WELL	UFS	DSych	01.061	ALL		HAT12	1			3.		
		PUAT	+78	EAT.	TANKE	AU	MEDA		1.			4.		
		NO	CONT	KIN M	UTIM	of	WTM	2		, 		5.		
		TA	MIL	<u> </u>								6.		
		<u> </u>							<u> </u>			7.		
								· <u>·</u>				8.		
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9.		
			,						L		<u> </u>	10.		
FINE PA			IPT NO.	MITTIMUS					- {-	TRIAL TOW	N 			REVERSE
		N ORIGINA			ORTER ON		L DISPOSIT	ION SIG	NED (CLERK		SIGNED J	UDGE ⊋	1

JD-CR-71 LP REV. 7-05

YES

STATE OF CONNECTICAT SUPERIOR COURT

OBICINÁL	INFORMATION
OKIGINAL	INFORMATION

COURT DATE: 01/12/2010

AT:

GA20 - NORWALK

DOCKET NO.: FST -CR10-0124859-T

The undersigned Prosecuting	Authority o	of the S	Superior	Court o	f the	State	of
Connecticut charges that							

EAST ALPHAH H



69 ANNS FARM ROAD, HAMDEN, CT 00000

Did commit the offenses recited below:

Count: 1 BRIBE RECEIVING Type/Class: F/C At: NORWALK On or About: 01/11/2010

In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-148*

	HER SHE DITIONAL	ETS . COUNTS		DATE			sic	GNED (PROS	ECUTI	NG AUTHOR	TY)				
	7.			1			ı	COUR	ГАС	TION				*****	
DEFEND.	ANI ADV	ISED OF R	IGHTS BE	FORE FL	.EA		2 2	- ^	BO		SURETY		ELECTION	4	
(JUDGE)	('0 >	Ω	* 17	XO		(DA	TEY -	<u>- (()</u>	\$	75000	1	CASH	ı 🔲 cou	RT	JURY
☐ ATTY.	PUE	B. DEFEND	ÉR	GUARDIA	AN	,,,,,,			REI	DUCTION	B.O.	APPEAL	ELECTION	N WITHDRAV	WN DATE SEIZED PROPERTY
COUNT NO.	PLEA	ADATE	PLEA	PLEA DAT	WITHDR	NEW PLEA	VERDICT FINDING	FINE		JAIL		ADDI	FIONAL DISPOSITIO	749	YUJU
1	3/5	710	W/	3/22	416	GU	Gy				<u>Cco 5</u>	- Hr. C. es	, - 2, 1,	1889	3/4
		110	IC	P. '			 				Ki Sar	e Mh 1	<u>o aque -</u>	7 1 10	-)/ (
				İ							13 ya	is bido	atico.		
	-														
	Ì	•				l					-				
	<u></u>		<u> </u>			l				1				FINITIANIO	
2/2 2	ATE Li C				OTHER	COURT AC	TION	_			JUDO	}	DATE	TINUANCE T PURPOSE	REASON
2/97	16									E	THE	rd			1
		PI	LEA M.	ADE KI	NOW	INGLY	, INTE	LLIGEN NDING	TLY	8 0	ph6		1. 5	7.16	4
		V .						INDING IARGED		D			2. 4/2	127	
		1						OUNSE					3. 4/0	X	
			visctv			n ai	7			ed;			4. 4/	33X	
		10	VÀÀ	DVI	SEI	MEN	ĬT G	IVEN					5. (/13/1		X
		Dest	itLico	181	3.5	00;	Pare	eni Un	<u>n</u>				6.		
		Jana	ve.	s C	<u> </u>	5 <u>u</u>	m	els	2				7.		
		you-	570	Save	لن ٠	101	1 to	oale	3				8.		
. 1	e .	Vac	S (X)(Sch	α	•		. ,					9.	,	
4/2	116	Pri.	+ te	56	7/10	200	slu	<i>A</i> ,					10.		
FINE PA	id	RECEI			MUS DA	TE		1		1	TRIAL TOW	/N	1	1	
555055	VITOD O	l only	L BIOS S	DITION	55555	TED 0::	00101111	. Dianos:		Louis	OLEDIA.		GOVED III	SIDE	REVERSE
PROSEC	JU TOR OF	N ORIGINA	L DISPO	PHION	KEPOR	HER ON	ORIGINAL	DISPOSIT	ION	SIGNED	CLEKK		SIGNED JU	JGE .	

JD-JR-71 LP REV. 7-05

STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT

ORIGINAL INFORMATION:

COURT DATE: YES

AT:

01/12/2010

GA20 - NORWALK

DISPOSITION DATE: DOCKET NO.: S20N-CR10-0124859-S

The undersigned Prosecuting Authority of the Superior Court of the State of Connecticut charges that

EAST ALPHAH H



DOB: - /1977

69 ANNS FARM ROAD, HAMDEN, CT 00000

Did commit the offenses recited below:

Count: 1 BRIBE RECEIVING On or About: 01/11/2010

Type/Class: F/C At: NORWALK In Violation Of CGS/PA No: 53a-148*

	IER SHEETS	UNTS		DATE		SIG	NED (PROSE	CUTI	NG AUTHO	RIT	n		66000 1 Selding product in Production Seldings	
	MIIONAL CO	ONTO												
							COURT							
DEFENDA	NT ADVISED	OF RIGI	HTSBE	FORE PLEA	1/	1 1-	\sim	BO	NP7/2	10	STRETY	ELECTION	!	
(JUDGE)			1	UCXX	. COA	TE)	<i>></i> '(∪)	1	75.	'n	ODC/S. Lash	COUF	RT	JURY
☐ ATTY.	☐ PUB. DE	FENDER	₹	GUARDIAN		2.00		REI	DUCTION	,	B.O. APPEAL	ELECTION	WITHDRAW	N DATE SEIZED PROPERTY
COUNT NO.	PLEA DAT	ΓE	PLEA	PLEA WITHD DATE	RAWN NEW PLEA	VERDICT FINDING	FINE	·	JAIL		ADDIT	IONAL DISPOSITION		
			į											
1														
		·	İ	,										
DA	TE N	11		OTHE	COURT AC	TION		`	T.		NUDGE ,	CONT	INUANCE	
· 12.	10 F	1+1	1	Furd	- P	und	· 00	U	7 +	\exists	udock,	DATE	PURPOSE	REASON
	Ţ	3- (<u>ा</u>	tur	19	<u> </u>		_	$\int \int_{0}^{\infty}$,	•	12.5.	104	<u> </u>
	(<u> </u>	P	- 0	- D	un	\					2		
		N	<u>C</u>	conto	ief (<u>v /w</u>	nich	1e	lle.	کے	audta	3.		
		<u>C</u>	$=$ \setminus	res o	6at	ned	<u> </u>				1	4.		<u> </u>
		18	C	nste	(+	0	15/1	F	7 5	7	tamtivd.	5		
												6.		-
			-									7.		<u> </u>
												8.		<u> </u>
												9.	<u></u>	<u> </u>
												10.		
FINE PAI	D F	RECEIPT	NO.	MITTIMUS D	ATE +		 		-	-	TRIAL TOWN		SEE R	EVERSE
PROSEC	UTOR ON OF	riginal.	DISPOS	REPO	RTER ON	ORIGINAL	DISPOSIT	ION	SIGNE	DС	LERK	SIGNED JUI	ÖĞE	



State of Connecticut

Division of Criminal Justice REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

	,
CASE#	SP09-116

FILE TITLE	INSPECTOR	UNIT
Alpha East		Financial Crimes Bureau
	·	
CROSS FILE		
REPORT RE	REPORT REQUESTE	D BY
Bribe Receiving		
INITIAL: STATUS OF CASE; 1=ACTIVE 2= CLEARED ARREST 3=SUSPE	NDED 4=FUGITIVE 5=NO CRIMINAL ASPECT	6=CLOSED ENTER CODE >
SUPPLEMENTAL: STATUS OF CASE; 1=ACTIVE 2= CLEARED ARREST	3=SUSPENDED 4=CIVIL 5=FUGITIVE 6=NO C	RIMINAL ASPECT 7=CLOSED ENTER CODE> 1

PERSONS: CODES - V=VICTIM S=SUSPECT C=COMPLAINANT W=WITNESS B=BUSINESS A=ARRESTEE K=ALIAS O=OTHER

CODE	LAST NAME	FIRST NAME	М	sx	DOB	TELEPHONE	ADDRESS
А	EAST	Alphah	Η	М	· - /1977		69 Anns Farm Road, Hamden, CT 06518

ACTION TAKEN:

On 01/11/2010 I was asked to assist in the investigation of alleged bribe receiving by a State of Connecticut Probation Officer. The complainant in this matter was interviewed by Inspector J. Bannan and me on 01/11/2010. Mr. Joseph Laurita reported that his daughter's probation officer, Alphah East, has asked him for money on numerous occasions during the last six months. Laurita has complied with East's requests for these "loans," none of which have been repaid, and has issued East \$13,500.00 in seven personal checks. Of late, Laurita has come to the realization that he is being fleeced by East, and is unwilling to give him any more money. Laurita further stated that during one of East's recent requests for an additional \$5,000.00 he intimated that he could actually get the remainder of Michelle Laurita's probation canceled. Inspector Bannan formulated a plan to monitor a meeting between Laurita and East whereupon the request for money could be recorded.

I met the complainant and other Inspectors of the Division of Criminal Justice at the Norwalk Police Department at 7:00 PM on 01/11/2010. After briefing the Norwalk officers assisting us, we traveled to Starbucks at 56 Westport Avenue in Norwalk. I was fitted with a covert video camera/recorder and took a seat in the coffee shop where I would be able to observe the meeting. Laurite and East arrived at approximately 8:00 PM and sat at a nearby table. I could observe the conversation and heard the pair speaking to each other. At the conclusion of the meeting, Mr. Laurita gave the prearranged signal indicating that East had accepted the check and verbalized what he intended to do for Mr. Laurita and his daughter. East was taken into custody in the Starbucks parking lot as he prepared to leave. After East was secured we located Laurita's check on the center console of East's

		\cap			
SIGNATURE (INSPECTOR)	DATE		7 REVIEWED (NAME)A	ND TITLE),	DATE
Trop of	01/11/2010	Yell	Colley	Syn Inun	01/11/10
	¬ "				
Subscribed and Sworn to before me, a Notary Public, in and for Co	DCJ SENSITIVE				
and State of Connecticut, this	TH	Connecticut Division of Criminal Justice THIS REPORT IS CONFIDENTIAL Neither it nor its contents may be disseminated outside the Agency to which loaned.			



State of Connecticut

Division of Criminal Justice REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

CASE# SP09-116

FILE TITLE	INSPECTOR	UNIT
Alpha East		Financial Crimes Bureau

vehicle.

East was transported to Norwalk PD HQ for processing. I accompanied Mr. Laurita back to police HQ where he was debriefed. Laurita stated that when they first sat down East spent a few minutes complaining about his money trouble and impending divorce. After a few minutes, Laurita presented East with the \$5,000.00 check that East had requested earlier. Laurita reported that he then told East that he had provided all of the funds that he had been asked for in the past, but this would be the last payment that he would provide to East. East reportedly told Laurita that he understood and that he had been great and had always been timely with his payments. Laurita said that he then told East that he now needed to know what East was going to do for him. East reportedly said "100%" that he was going to get Michelle's probation canceled and added that he would tell Laurita how he was going to do it. East went on to explain that his friend, John Thigherson, whose home address in Norwalk was used as the destination of some of East's mailed payments, is an attorney who is very friendly with a judge. The judge supposedly would cancel the remainder of Michelle's probation upon receiving a letter of request from Thigherson. East added that they had to move fast, however, and this needed to be done right away. Laurita told East that he could do this as quickly as he liked. They reportedly continued to chat for a few more minutes, then Laurita said that he had to leave. Laurita gave me the prearranged signal as they walked towards the rear door together. Laurita told East that he had left something in the lavatory and returned to the front of the store. Inspectors and Norwalk officers took East into custody as he prepared to leave the parking lot.

			00	
SIGNATURE (INSPECTOR)	DATE		REVIEWED (NAME AND TITLE)	DATE
100/1/1	01/11/2010	hale	Colley Serv Tous	0,/11/10
			Contract of the contract of th	
Subscribed and Sworn to before me, a Notary Public, in and for Couland State of Connecticut, this	DCJ SENSITIVE Connecticut Division of Crimir			
Charle & C	THIS REPORT IS CONFIDENTIAL NEITHER IT IS CONFIDENTIAL NEITHER IN THE IS CONFIDENTIAL NEITHER IN THE IS CONFIDENTIAL NEITHER IS CONFIDENTIAL NEITHER IN THE IS CONFIDENTIAL NEITHER IS CONFIDENTIAL NE	minated outside the		

ORDER OF PROBATION

JD-CR-66 Rev. 5-14 C.G.S. §§ 18-78, 53a-29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 54-260

Instructions to Clerk

- One form per docket number (Use for original Order of Probation only, not for Violation of Probation/Revocation/Modification/Termination or Extension).
- 2. Original and one copy to CSSD Probation Attach copy of Information Sheet.
- 3. One copy in court file.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov

ADA NOTICE

The Judicial Branch of the State of Connecticut complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). If you need a reasonable accommodation in accordance with the ADA, contact a court clerk or an ADA contact person listed at www.jud.ct.gow/ADA.

TO: T	he Defendant named belov	N	an ADA contact person listed at www.jud.ct.gov/ADA.					
From (Judicial district or Geographical area number) At (Town) Stamford Stamford			Judge ordering p	robation	Docket number FSTCR100124859T			
Name of defendant Alphah East			,	Date sentenced 06/07/2016				
Count	Count Connecticut General Statute Number Sentence			Probation	Term			
1	53a-148*	5 years e/s/a 18 months	3 years		Consecutive To Count:	Concurrent		
2					Consecutive To Count:	Concurrent		
3				·	Consecutive To Count:	Concurrent		
4				· ·	Consecutive To Count:	Concurrent		
	See attached sheet(s) for additi	onal counts.				_		
Orde	er of Probation							
This do	cket number's total effective sentence		Probation begins	s immediately upon sentend	ing in any sentence tha	t includes a period of		
	rs e/s/a 18 months to serve, 3	•		pes not include a period of i				
Note if	Consecutive/Concurrent to any other sen	tence and, if so, list docket numbers						
		•						
				<u>. </u>				
	e to Defendant					- 6.1		
You have been convicted/adjudicated of the crime(s) stated above and are sentenced to the custody of the Commissioner of Correction or the Commissioner's agent for the term described above, execution suspended as shown above, subject to an order of probation for the period specified above. During your period of probation, if you do not follow the order below, the Court may issue a warrant for your arrest, revoke your probation and require you to serve the above sentence, or change the term or the conditions of your probation. If you were convicted of certain class C, D or E felonies unclassified felony and your sentence is probation for more than 1 year, your probation officer was report with the court not later than 60 days before you comp years of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year, your probation for the felony or 1 year, your probation for the felony or 1 year, your probation for the felony or 1 year, your probation for the felony and your probation for more than 1 year, your probation of it you were convicted of a class A or B misdemeanor are the felony and your probation for more than 1 year, your probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation for the felony or 1 year of probation f					nore than 2 years, meanor and your tion officer will file by you complete 2 probation for the nan one period of the latest review			
It is c	rdered and adjudged that:							
b	During your probationary term officer and the instructions giv. You must also follow these con Division/Probation (C.G.S. § 5	ort Services Division/Probation, you must follow the written stat en about the conditions. Inditions of probation and any co. 3a-30(b)):	for the period tement of the conditions that a ver you change	of probation shown al onditions of probation re given to you by the e your residence add	bove. Given to you by the Court Support Series if you have be	ne probation ervices een convicted of a		
	(C.G.S. § 53a-70); aggravat relationship (C.G.S. § 53a-7 (C.G.S. § 53a-72a); and sex	njury to, or impairing morals of, ed sexual assault in the first de (0b); sexual assault in the secondual assault in the third degree versions.	gree (C.G.S. § nd degree (C.G with a firearm (53a-70a); sexual ass 3.S. § 53a-71); sexual C.G.S. § 53a-72b).	sault in spousal or assault in the thire	cohabiting d degree		
	grounds of a Department of	Support Services/Probation to to Correction facility and the resid detained in a Department of Co- ment are being made.	lential sex offer	nder facility becomes	unusable as a res	ult of unforeseen		
	ional Conditions/Orders of Prob				,			
Resti treat	tution of \$13,500, substance ment, take all medications, no	abuse evaluation and treatme contact with victim or victim	nt, random ui 's family.	rines, psychological	and psychiatric	evaluation and		
	See attached sheet(s) for additional conditions/orders.							
Signed	(Slerk)		Ву	Order of the Court	Date signed 06/07/2016			

MITTIMUS JD-CR-38 Rev. 1-15 C.G.S. §§ 18-63, 18-65, 18-65a, 18-73, 18-76, 18-82, 54-2a, 54-64b, 54-92a, 54-96b, 54-97, 54-98

P.B. §§ 43-17 to 43-20, 44-10, 44-10A Continuance

Title of delivering officer

INSTRUCTIONS TO CLERK Prepare a separate Mittimus for each file.

www.ju TO OFFICER

STATE OF C

Signature of receiving officer

ATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT www.jud.ct.gov	
--	--

x Judgment Failure to meet conditions of release Original to receiving facility; return copy to court. under § 54-2a Date of disposition TO: Any Proper Officer 06/07/2016 Docket number Name of defendant Date of birth Date sentence to begin (If ····· //1977 different) FSTCR100124859T Alphah East Name and location of Court Name and location of receiving facility Inmate number (If available) BCC JD Stamford/Norwalk, 123 Hoyt St., Stamford, CT 06905 1st Count - Statute number 2nd Count - Statute number Date of offense Date of offense 53a-148* 01/11/2010 X Crime(s) 3rd Count - Statute number Date of offense 4th Count - Statute number Date of offense Convicted Crime(s) 5th Count - Statute number Date of offense 6th Count - Statute number Date of offense Charged Whereas by a judgment of said court, said defendant was convicted of the above crime(s) and sentenced to imprisonment as follows: Counts and Terms (If execution of portion of sentence is suspended, show only time to be served.) **Total Effective** Sentence First Second Third Fourth Fifth Sixth 18 months to serve 18 months Specify here any pertinent conditions, if sentences are consecutive and if probation was ordered. TES: 5 years e/s/a 18 months to serve, 3 years probation. Judgment Mittimus Restitution of \$13,500, substance abuse evaluation and treatment, random urines, psychological and psychiatric evaluation and treatment, take all medications, no contact with victim or victim's family. (If a person under the age of 21 receives a reformatory sentence in accordance with section 18-65a or 18-73 of the General Statutes, in no event shall the term be longer than either the maximum term of imprisonment for the crime(s) committed or for a term of more than five (5) years.) And said defendant pay to the State of Connecticut the amount of fines now unpaid as shown below and be committed to the above facility in default of payment of said fines. (A defendant may not be incarcerated for failing to pay fees or costs.) Counts and Fines (Show only unpaid portion of fines) Total Unpaid Balance Second Fifth Sixth First Third Fourth The Defendant is entitled to sentence credit of The foregoing credit includes days of credit for pretrial confinement at a police or courthouse lockup. Whereas it is ordered that said case be continued and/or transferred for future proceedings before said court. Transfer or Continuance Defendant to appear by interactive audiovisual device. (As permitted by Practice Book §§ 44-10 and 44-10A.) On (Date) To be held at (Name and address of court) Surety bond amount Judicial District Geographical Area BY AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT, you are hereby commanded to deliver said defendant to the custody of the Commissioner of Correction and/or the Warden or Administrator at the above facility and said Commissioner and/or Warden or Administrator is hereby commanded to receive and keep said defendant for the period fixed by said order or judgment of the court or until legally discharged, provided that when a defendant has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment and ordered to pay a fine, if the fine has not been paid by the time the sentence has been served, the defendant may not continue to be incarcerated unless the judicial authority has found that the defendant is able to pay the fine and that the defendant's nonpayment is wilful. Receiving facility time stamp On (Date) Signed (Assistant Clerk) 06/07/2016 By Order of the Court Acknowledgment of Delivery of Defendant I delivered said defendant into the custody of the Commissioner of Correction and/or his agent and left this mittimus with him. Name and location of receiving facility

Signature of delivering officer

NAME OF ACCUSED (Last, First, Middle)	-,	LINIEGR	M ARREST RE	 PART		
East Alonah			-CR-?^~ev. 12/05	OIX.	2036533 ON	
NO., STREET, CITY AND STATE		FPC			COMPANION U.A.R. NO.	
69. Anns Farm Fred Hama	. ^	CT				
SEX RACE HISP, DATE OF BIRTH PLACE OF BIRTH	SOCIAL	SECURITY NO. HT.	WT. HAIR	EYES	DOCKET NO.	
MB Yes - 177 Nachack		L [7]	Job ME	ルー	10010-124859	
ALIAS/MAIDEN NAME ALIEN REG. NO.	-	OPERATOR'S LICENSE	NO. (MV/Boat)	STATE	DATE AND TIME ARRESTED	
		127 108	259 C	1	White & cite	
SURETY AMOUNT OF BOND CASH COMMERCI	IAL/HAZ, N	IAT. TOWN OF	ARREST		TOWN OF OFFENSE	
DETAINED PLOO GOC. OO OTHER COL	C\	/ DHM ACE	1/-	<u> </u>	Nuth	
CHARGE(S) AND STATUTE NO. DATE/TIME OF OFFENSE		F.V. ALC. NAR.	COURT DATE		S.P.B.I. NO.	
Brile receiving inhilio			1126 110			
V		G.A. NO	DATE FINGERPRINT	€D	F.B.I. NO.	
532-148 3 602m	٠	20	The bio			
ARRESTING OFFICER SHIELD NO.		JRE OF ACCUSED		SIGNE	ED - OFFICIAL TAKING PRINTS	
of Course Promint Into	X					
DEPARTMENT OR TROOP/ORL) MOT. VEH. YES BOAT YES	P.D.ID N		.D. CASE NO.		NOTEAMP	
REG. #			SP65=71	6		
COURT ORIGINAL						

.

EXHIBIT B

to

AFFIDAVIT OF THOMAS J. MARTIN

NO: FST-CR100124859T;

FST-CR100170818T:

: SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

: G.A. # 1

v.

: AT STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

ALPHAH EAST

: MARCH 22, 2016

PLEA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GARY WHITE, JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

Representing the State of Connecticut:

ATTORNEY KEVIN SHAY Chief State's Attorney 300 Corporate Place Rocky Hill, CT 06067

Representing the Defendant:

ATTORNEY DANIEL FORD 167 Old Post Road Southport, CT 06890

> Recorded By: Lisa Franchina

Transcribed By: Linda Vanek Court Recording Monitor 17 Belden Avenue Norwalk, CT 06850

1 THE COURT: Good afternoon. You can be seated. Thank you. ATTY. SHAY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 3 4 ATTY. FORD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Yes, counsel? ATTY. FORD: Your Honor, at this time we've 6 reached an agreement with the State. 7 8 If all prior pleas and elections can be 9 withdrawn? THE COURT: All right. Before we go forward, I 10 understand that the agreement between the State and 11 defense is a cap. It's five, suspended after two, 12 with a right to argue. Three years' probation. 13 restitution in the amount of? 14 ATTY. SHAY: \$13,500. 15 THE COURT: \$13,500. Mr. East, I'm going to 16 17 order a presentence investigation report. And once that's prepared, Judge Comerford's going to do the 18 sentencing. 19 He's going to review the report. He's going to 20 21 hear remarks from the State, and your lawyer, and 22 you, if you wish. Others, if you wish. And then 23 he's going to decide on a sentence. 2.4 So you can get anywhere from, as I indicated, 25 five years, suspended after two years' in jail, to serve, with a period of probation, and the 26

restitution amount, down to nothing, actually, an

1 unconditional discharge. If you get a sentence within that range I just mentioned, you have to accept that. 3 4 If the Court reads the presentence report and 5 decides the agreement's inappropriate, then the Court doesn't have to impose the sentence. You can take 6 back your plea and have a trial. 7 8 Do you understand what I told you? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do you have any questions about it? 10 THE DEFENDANT: I do not. 11 THE COURT: And you've had time to talk to your 12 13 lawyer about it? 14 I did, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: And the matter was scheduled for a 15 I understand that you actually started 16 trial. 17 selecting jurors today. And there's not going to be a trial if you enter a quilty plea. Do you 18 19 understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. 20 21 And do you still want to go forward? THE COURT: 22 THE DEFENDANT: I do, yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Put him to plea, Mr. Shay. 24 ATTY. SHAY: Yes, Your Honor. Alphah East, in 25 docket number CR-10-0124859, to the single count of the amended long form information charging you with 26 27 the bribe receiving, in violation of Connecticut

General Statutes 53a-148(a), of the Connecticut

General Statutes, how do you plead, guilty or not
guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

ATTY. SHAY: Your Honor, facts in this matter, at all times relevant, the defendant was employed by the State of Connecticut, as a Probation Officer, with the Office of Adult Probation.

During his service, he was assigned to the matter of Michelle Lareda (phonetic).

Michelle was an individual who had pled guilty and been sentenced on some drug charges, and she was on probation. Mr. East was her probation officer for a period of time.

In the course of that relationship, Mr. East got to know the probationer's father, Joseph Lareda. And during the course of their relationship, Mr. East accepted \$13,500 from Mr. Lareda, in the form of seven checks.

Then, on January 11, 2010, the -- Mr. Lareda, with police officers present, and unbeknownst to the defendant, he called the defendant, where they arranged to meet at Starbucks later in that day, around 8:00 p.m., where Mr. Lareda would give him one last check in the amount of \$5,000.

Mr. Lareda asked him, during the course of this phone conversation, essentially what he was going to

do for him. He said -- he reminded him, you know, you made promises in the past, and Michelle is still on probation. Are you still in a position to take care of this?

And Mr. East indicated that yes, in his own words, he was still in the driver's seat. Still had the job. Could take care of things. And Mr. Lareda asked for clarification.

They were talking about having her probation terminated. To which Mr. East replied, absolutely taken care of.

Later that evening, at 8:00, the appointed time, the two did meet at the Starbucks in Norwalk where, again, there was a discussion.

According to Mr. Lareda, they talked about the issues that were discussed on the phone conversation earlier, about making sure that Michelle's probation would be terminated.

And he handed him a check for \$5,000, which was subsequently recovered from the defendant's vehicle, in the parking lot of Starbucks, by police officers, incident to arrest.

So it is the -- those are the facts, Your Honor, underlying the charge.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. East, I have some questions for you. Listen carefully.

Have you had any drugs, alcohol or medicine that

1	would keep you from understanding what's going on in
2	court today?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Not to my knowledge, no. No.
4	THE COURT: Have you had enough time to speak to
5	your attorney?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with his advice
8	and representation?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Do you understand the elements of
11	the offense charged?
12	THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Do you understand the maximum
14	penalty?
15	THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Do you understand the evidence the
17	State says it has against you?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Do you understand that by pleading
20	guilty, you are giving up your right to a jury trial?
21	THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Do you also understand you are
23	giving up your right to confront and cross examine
24	the witnesses against you, with the help of your
25	attorney?
26	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
27	THE COURT: Do you also understand that you're

giving up your right against self-incrimination? 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Is anybody forcing you or 3 threatening you to plead guilty? 4 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: You understand that if you're not a 6 citizen of the United States, your conviction might 7 lead to your exclusion from the United States, your 8 deportation or denial of naturalization privileges? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: You understand that as a result of 11 your conviction, you will be a felon, and you'll have 12 to give a DNA sample to the State? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: You understand that once I accept your plea, you cannot take it back without my 16 17 permission? THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: Do you want me to accept your plea? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Are the facts claimed by the State's 21 attorney, correct? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Does either counsel know of any 24 reason I shouldn't accept the plea? 25 ATTY. SHAY: No, Your Honor. 26 27 ATTY. FORD: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll make a finding that the plea is 1 made knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily, with assistance of competent counsel. There is an 3 adequate factual basis for the plea. The plea of quilty is accepted. Finding of guilt may enter. 5 I'm ordering a presentence investigation report. 6 Date for that, please? 7 THE CLERK: Would you like four weeks or six 8 weeks, Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Six weeks. 10 ATTY. FORD: Yeah, that's fine, Your Honor. 11 That takes us to May sometime. Whatever. 12 THE CLERK: May 3rd, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. PSI for May 3rd. 14 ATTY. FORD: Will that be for sentencing? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 ATTY. FORD: Any chance we can get the Friday of 1.7 that week, on the 6th? 18 THE COURT: All right. Well, I -- Judge 19 Comerford's not in on Fridays. 20 The 3rd ATTY. FORD: Right, okay. That's fine. 21 is fine. 22 THE COURT: May 3rd. You're going to have to go 23 to probation. Cooperate with the preparation of the 24 presentence investigation report. 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, thank you. 26 THE COURT: All right. Anything else, 27

,		_,
1	gentlemen?	
2	ATTY. FORD: No, Your Honor.	
3	ATTY. SHAY: No, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: Okay.	
5		
6	* * *	
7		
8		
9		
10		
-		

NO: FST-CR100124859T;

FST-CR100170818T:

SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

: G.A. # 1

v.

: AT STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

ALPHAH EAST

: MARCH 22, 2016

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, G.A. # 1, Stamford, Connecticut, before the Honorable Gary White, Judge, on the 22nd day of March, 2016.

Dated this 29th day of April, 2016 in Norwalk, Connecticut.

Linda Vanek

Court Recording Monitor

EXHIBIT C

to

AFFIDAVIT OF THOMAS J. MARTIN

NO: FST-CR10-0124859-T

FST-CR10-0170818-T

: SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

: GA #01

V.

: AT STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

ALPHAH EAST

: JUNE 7, 2016

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD COMERFORD, JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

Representing the State of Connecticut:

AAG KEVIN SHAY
AAG JACK WHELAN
Attorney General's Office
55 Elm Steet
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

Representing the Defendant:

ATTORNEY DANIEL C. FORD Law Office of Daniel C. Ford 167 Old Post Road Southport, Connecticut 06890

> Recorded By: Lauren Bedoya

Transcribed By:
Lauren Bedoya
Court Recording Monitor
123 Hoyt Street
Stamford, Connecticut 06905

1 THE COURT: Gentleman, we have the matter of 2 State of Connecticut versus Alphah East and the 3 matter is set down or sentencing. The Court has reviewed the presentence investigation. And if 4 5 everybody just for the monitor would identify 6 themselves for the record, so that we know who's 7 representing whom in the matter? ATTY SHAY: For the record, Your Honor, Kevin 8 9 And with me is Jack Whelan for Shay, for the State. 10 the State, as well. 11 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 12 ATTY. FORD: Good morning, Your Honor. Daniel 13 Ford, on behalf of Mr. East, who is standing beside 14 me. THE COURT: All right. Has everybody had an 15 16 opportunity to see the presentence investigation? 17 ATTY SHAY: Yes, Your Honor. 18 ATTY. FORD: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Is there any substantial changes 20 from the State's point of view? 21 ATTY SHAY: No, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: Mr. Ford, we've had some lengthy 23 discussion this morning about certain parts of the 24 PSI, which you have explained to the Court. Is there 25 anything of substance you wish to change? 26 ATTY. FORD: Just on the page one the -- his

Updating his address it's 73 Myrtle Street,

Meriden, Connecticut 06451.

THE COURT: Very well. Thank you very much. I appreciate you doing that. All right. Mr. Shay, you want to go ahead? This is a cap sentence in the matter --

ATTY SHAY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- as I understand it, five two three cap with a right to argue. So go ahead, Mr. Shay.

ATTY SHAY: That's correct, Your Honor. On -- on March 22nd the defendant pled guilty to a single count of bribe receiving. The State's -- the agreement that we reached with the defendant on that day was a cap of five after two with three years' probation. We did agree to a right to argue, but I was candid with counsel at that time that I felt that five after two really struck a balance in this case and was an appropriate recommendation. But of course, it's not an exact science so we wanted to give him an opportunity to put what he had to put on the record.

The facts, Your Honor, this goes back to 2009 and 2010. During all times relevant the de -- the defendant was a probation officer employed by the State of Connecticut. He was assigned to the case of Michelle Laurita. Ms. Laurita was a woman who had been convicted on drug charges. She was put on

probation. Mr. East was her probation officer.

During the course of his monitoring her -- her

-- he became -- began a relationship with her father
during which he received seven checks totaling
thirteen thousand five hundred dollars, which were
styled as loans. He was ultimately the -- the father
contacted authorities and they set up a phone
conversation between the defendant and Mr. Laurita.

And during the phone conversation they agreed to one final payment of five thousand dollars. And Mr. East assured Mr. Laurita that his daughter's probation would be terminated and that he could take care of that. They met at Starbucks, the money was exchanged and that's what's led us to today.

The -- the -- what really sets this defendant apart from -- from your average citizen is his position, his position of trust. And it's fair to say that aside from that what you have is an individual like so many who have mental and emotional issues that were -- were untreated that he tried to do that -- he treated it -- tried to treat it himself.

Sadly as a probation officer he was probably more familiar than the average person in terms of the help that was available. And I'm sure he deeply regrets not seeking the help at that time. But that being said, the reason why this is not a -- we're not

asking for five years or ten years, Your Honor, is because we believe that -- that his lack of criminal history up to this point and the fact that the -- the fact that the activity seems to be -- to have stemmed from this addiction to the narcotics, which is so common, we felt that some mitigation was appropriate.

With that being said, Your Honor, the trust — when trust is broken by a public official that trust has to be restored and repaired in some way, which is what makes him different from other individuals. He was in a position to do a lot of good, but he's also — was in a position to do a lot of harm to the people's faith in — in our system. And the faith in our system is what makes it work.

And despite what -- the way that the last couple of years -- you know, have been -- certainly a lot of people have been outspoken about their mistrust of the system. I would say by and large we enjoy a great deal of -- of faith in our system. And it's instances like this that really jeopardize that. So I do feel a period of incarceration is warranted; is necessary. And the probation, the PSI -- the recommendation in the PSI is for a period of incarceration.

And I think that's because people in a position of public trust have to know and have to continue to believe that if they engage in this kind of behavior

the consequences will be severe. And I think that the -- the public needs to know that because the -- that -- the deterrence that comes from that helps bolster the faith that we need in our system for everything to work.

So with that, like I said, we did agree to a right to argue, but I -- I do feel like we tried to -- we were really trying to balance -- you know, no two briberies are the -- are -- are the same. No two individuals are the same. We try look at all of the circumstances in this case, Your Honor. And we felt that five after two was an appropriate balance between taking into the consideration his life and his unique circumstances, but also trying to do something to restore the public trust.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Well said, sir. Mr. Ford?

ATTY. FORD: Thank you, Your Honor. I just -- I know I did discuss that there were some other letters from family members that were submitted that I don't think is -- reached the Court as of yet. So I'd --

THE COURT: I -- I -- my understanding is there was a letter from his mom that was submitted. And I take it from the context of the PSI and from what you have told me that letter would be very supportive.

And there was also a letter from Ms. Lesser that was submitted to the file.

ATTY. FORD: I have that, Your Honor. If I can approach your clerk with that?

THE COURT: And I'm familiar with Ms. Lesser, of course. If I could take a look at that just a second? Just give me one second, sir.

(PAUSE)

19.

2.0

2.2

THE COURT: All right. I have read the letter Ms. Lesser wrote of June 7, 2016. The record will reflect she's in the courtroom. I'm familiar with Ms. Lesser from prior work in the system at a different courthouse. She's a very fine woman and I appreciate the import. The letter has been submitted. Go ahead, Mr. Ford.

ATTY. FORD: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

I'd also note for the record that my client's mother is also present in the courtroom and she's been to

I'd say all of his court appearances, maybe not his arraignment. But -- and maybe she missed one, but she has been a source of support for my client, as well.

THE COURT: Okay.

ATTY. FORD: Your Honor, I know the Court was privy to the presentence investigation, but if I can provide a little more background again. He was born on Christmas Day 1977. He's thirty-eight years old. He was born in Brewster, New York, raised in western Massachusetts.

He was lucky enough to be a talented athlete, obtained an athletic scholarship to play basketball at a -- in Kansas at a colleague junior college.

Thereafter he transferred to Quinnipiac University, then known as Quinnipiac College, and played for Joe DeSantis in Hamden.

Upon graduation he worked some odd jobs, but he was lucky enough to obtain employment as a Judicial Marshal, then Bail Commissioner, and then as a probation officer. Up until then it looked like he had the world on this -- on a string. Unfortunately as the Court has -- has seen time and time again, and I'm sure the State's Attorney would agree with me, in 2008 he had an injury to his back. He was prescribed an opiate pain medication and that is the day I believe that his life began to leave the rails.

We don't know and we can't predict -- I'm sure doctors can't predict when they prescribe such a strong powerful medication who the switch is going to be flipped on and whose the switch is not, it's going to be left off. Individuals can take opiates and pain medication for two weeks and be done. Other individuals take a single opiate and they're hooked, a lifelong nightmare ensues. The doctors don't have crystal ball. They can't tell and it continues to be prescribed.

My client in preparing for sentencing provided

me a lot of medical information, a lot of background about himself and about six volumes worth. And I went through it all and -- and in it he must have gotten it from one of the treatment facilities he attended.

2.0

And it's a quotation from Portia Nelson who was an actress in the twentieth century and a -- an American writer. And she has -- and I -- when I read it I don't know why paused. There was some much. I was kind of leafing through it. And I read it and I -- and I really kind of thought -- I thought of my client. And I'm in the unusual situation I think for an attorney. Well I -- I've represented clients for long period of times, but not in the criminal setting. It seem -- it seems to be -- you know, less the -- the length of representation isn't long. And so I've known Mr. East for almost seven years. I've known his mother just as much, a little less.

And so some of the steps that he's -- he's taken to gain his sobriety I've been there side by side with him. So when I -- I read this it really did kind of strike me in -- in that it applied to him. And it says chapters of my life. Chapter one, I walked down the side walk, fell into a deep hole. I couldn't get out and I couldn't figure out why. It wasn't my fault. It took a long time to get out.

Chapter two, I walked down the side walk and I

fell into the same hole again. I couldn't understand. It was not my fault. I really had to struggle to get out. Chapter three, I walk down the sidewalk and fell into the same hole again. This time I understood why and it was my fault. This time it was easier to get out. Chapter four, I walked down the sidewalk and saw the same big hole. I walked around it and I didn't fall into that hole. In Chapter five I chose another sidewalk.

And he -- at the time when he was prescribed that medication, when we engaged in the conduct in which he pled guilty he did not realize the hole in which he was digging himself. By January of 2010 he's incarcerated. He's terminated from the branch. Upon -- upon making a bond -- his family took him a little while, I think about ten days, to come up with some bond money to get him out. He found himself homeless. He was put out of his marital home. His wife divorced him. She served him while he was incarcerated.

Back in 2008 the signs were already there. His family were observing his conduct, the nodding off. They -- they had an intervention at Thanksgiving in 2008 saying what's going -- you've got to get a grip of yourself. This is a more than year before he was arrested. His employer spoke to him at a -- on a number of occasions. He was offered the employee

assistance plan, but I -- I -- it's very difficult to counsel individuals who are in the grips of addiction.

Between today and the -- and the date in which he was arrested and arraigned -- well strike that. After he was released on bond, Your Honor, he spent about six to seven months homeless. And on one particular night he came across a police officer, asked him what he was doing, where he was going. The -- the police officer, according to my client, gave him his phone, had him call his mother. His mother came down and got him into a hotel and the very next day he went into Connecticut Valley Hospital. And from that day forward he's -- hasn't had a single drug in his system. He's been clean since then.

After successfully completing Connecticut Valley Hospital he went to Rushford for aftercare, the APT Foundation, Yale University for treatment, Saint Francis. And as the PSI indicates he -- there is a dual diagnosis bi-polar and ADHD. He currently treats at the Community Health Center in Meriden. He has neuropathy in his feet from all the years of playing basketball.

Now shifting towards the offense conduct, Your Honor, and I agree with the State Michelle Laurita, she's on probation, he was his [sic] probation officer. Joseph Laurita, her father, the victim in

this -- in this case, it seems that their relationship developed and became more of a friendly relationship. Alphah worked with his daughter and he would check in on her to see how she was doing.

He was doing so well that he wrote Ed Conway, the supervisor at the time, a letter. And I quote, this is from -- Joe Laurita's words. Alphah East has worked extremely hard on this case and I want you know that it was -- that if it were not for his unbelievable commitment to Michelle's wellbeing I do not think she would be nearly at the point she is at today. And then it continues. When my daughter's finally clean and sober I will give much of the credit to Alphah.

Again it continues. I cannot stress enough how important Alphah has been in this process. Michelle has made -- I'm sorry -- the -- in the progress Michelle has made since she started probation. And then finally, I have a lot of respect for Alphah and I am thankful that Michelle has had the opportunity to work with someone as caring, understanding and smart as he is.

However, at the -- at the same time that letter was written Alphah was really going through the same things that his daughter was doing. In desperation to feed his own growing reliance on opiate pain medication Alphah asked Joe Laurita for money. And

he did so up to seven times.

1.0

2.5

Under the guise and the evidence would've shown that it started off as a loan. The checks said loan on them. The text messages between Mr. Laurita and Mr. East all were a -- an exchange of promises. He would agree to pay for them in exchange for the money. Mr. Laurita, a sophisticated business man, runs several apparel companies. At the time had two homes, one in Westport and one outside Los Angeles. He knows how to make a buck and he knows how to get what he paid for.

He told police officers that his relationship with -- with Mr. East began to change at this time when he gave him the money. Well, of course. He gave him money. He -- he must have had some inkling as to -- to know what he was doing was wrong. Alphah for sure knew what he was doing wrong and that's why he entered a guilty plea. I was prepared to try the case. He was not. He was concerned. And he -- viewing this sentencing as part of his -- his growth and addressing his substance abuse and the steps that go along with it.

Again, I was prepared to try the case. In my estimation it appeared that Mr. Laurita may have been grooming Alphah. And I thought it was always curious as a piece of evidence that when Mr. Laurita didn't get what he wanted and -- and began to think that oh,

maybe he's never going to pay me instead of calling
Ed Conway, a phone number he had, an address he had,
he'd contacted him before, he calls his attorney who
calls the police and says hey his client is being
shaken down, which wasn't necessarily accurate if you
-- if you -- if you were able to look at the texts
and the conversations the two had.

What happened next, they set up a sting. The five thousand dollar check is presented. There's a recorded conversation where Mr. Laurita says hey for five thousand bucks you've got to help me with that probation. Alphah at the time was completely hooked on opiate -- on -- on opiate medication, Your Honor. I don't think -- he -- he would've agreed with anyone on the phone that day saying anything. He just was such in a desperate space to get money so he could fulfill his addiction.

At a trial Mr. Laurita did not want to testify. He had to be compelled. They had to -- the State had to go to California and compel his appearance. When probation contacted him he said call back. They called back. They were -- they were never able to talk to him.

What has Alphah been doing since his arrest?

And it's been a considerable amount of time. He's completed several inpatient and outpatient programs.

Remarkably he's been able to -- to find work. Not

entirely consistent because the -- his experience has been that he would find one particular job, work there for six months and they would find out about the pending case and they would either tell him he can't work there anymore or they would just lay him off without any further comment.

2.5

Currently he's working for Quest as a driver.

He's the -- they indicated that he's a valued

employee and if there is a period of incarceration

there'll be a job waiting for him upon his release.

People like him. Employees like him. He's loyal.

He has no criminal history, Your Honor. And -and what's even -- I think he'd -- the Court and the
State would probably acknowledge in the past six
years the individuals facing the kind of struggles
that Mr. East has faced, not to be arrested again for
-- you know, a charge. Often times people who are
addicted and they're -- they're operating in the back
alleys and maybe they're fraudulently presenting
prescription pads or they're stealing from a store to
pay for drugs. None of that. No criminal arrests in
the last -- in the last six years.

Your Honor, as he stands here I know he -- he's -- he's remorseful. I've talked to him about it.

Again, I was prepared to try the case. Although it's not an Alford plea he understood that that phone call was an important piece of evidence. And that's his

voice on -- on the -- on the telephone and he did not deny that. And that a jury could've concluded that that phone call met the elements of the crime charged. And so based on that he indicated it'd be my best idea to enter a plea and have a sentencing rather than have a jury find him guilty.

Has he been promised -- has he been punished here? He has. He's lost a great job and a -- with all -- everyone's indication a very promising career. He was incarcerated for a period of time where -- and he was homeless. He was mugged and robbed.

Difficulty finding work he was divorced and as the in -- the PSI indicates he lost the rights to his young son. And the disin -- without being able to find work he had to file bankruptcy in the Federal Court.

Certainly if he was not a probation officer I think the Court would -- would take a different view. I agree that state employees and probation officers, police officers, lawyers, all -- all operate under the public trust. There's no doubt about that. But I think the Court has to look at the broad spectrum of where does this conduct lie along with others that have been convicted of similar -- of the similar crime.

A few years ago a State's Attorney was convicted of -- of stealing money, eighty-five thousand dollars. Twenty-two month sentence. Compare that to

more recently a former United States Attorney here in Connecticut was sentenced to thirty days to serve and home confinement for stealing more than six hundred thousand dollars from a -- from a client. So it's difficult to -- where on -- where on the spectrum does -- does it fall.

I stand before the Court asking for a short period of incarceration. No more than a month -- no -- no more than twelve months. I think that would be more than sufficient to send a message to any other probation officer or bail commissioner that might be engaged or might -- may consider engaging in similar conduct. Was this conduct wrong? Absolutely.

Should he have been fired? For sure. No doubt about it. The term criminal -- when we heard the tape and the conversation between Mr. Laurita and Mr. East -- and he acknowledges that and he's here before the Court for that reason.

And I'll -- I'll finish my comments, Your Honor. In my conversations with my client he really views this sentencing as probably one of the last things that he has to kind of go through to put his former life behind him and move forward with his life. In other words, he's looking to move on to chapter five, choose another sidewalk, get off the old side walk. He's still on it.

And hopefully with the conclusion of today's

proceedings any period of incarceration when he -when he's released I -- I'd be -- I would be shocked
if he had any problems on probation. Shocked.

2.5

There'll be a restitution order. I know that he's going to do everything he can to pay it. But with a convention like this on a -- on his -- on his history I think work might be a -- might -- might be an issue for him going forward. But I -- I -- I know the type of person he is. He's going to do everything that he can to comply with the Court's order.

So for that, Your Honor, for the -- everything I -- I've said I ask the Court to consider five years suspended after twelve months, three years of probation. No objection to the -- any special conditions the Court would impose.

THE COURT: Does he wish to say anything?

ATTY. FORD: I believe he does.

MR. EAST: Yes, Your Honor.

ATTY. FORD: Briefly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead, sir.

MR. EAST: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'd just like to express my remorse. I understand I took an oath and I needed to abide by that. I was in the depths of addiction trying to balance things. I was overwhelmed. A lot like the chapters as I got more sober I started to be able to reflect back and see

that I made poor choices. And I understand that 1 2 those choices carry consequences. 3 By no means I've lived with his every day. There's been days that I cry in the shower. Coming 4 5 to court never gets easy. It gets harder for me every time. I've relived this every day since the 6 day I was arrested. I'm extremely sorry and I 7 apologize for all of my conduct. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Anything else from anybody else? 9 10 ATTY. FORD: No, Your Honor. Just Your Honor, I neglected to 11 ATTY SHAY: mention the conditions that were --1.2 13 THE COURT: Okay. -- recommended by the pro --14 ATTY SHAY: 15 THE COURT: Ms. Lesser, did you wish to add 16 anything to the letter that you --17 MS. LESSER: May I, sir? 18 THE COURT: Please. MS. LESSER: May I come forward? 19 20 THE COURT: Go ahead. Come on. Yes, ma'am. Please if you'd just for the record identify yourself 21 2.2 and then go ahead. MS. LESSER: My name is Jill Lesser. Thank you 23 for reading my letter and thank you for your kind 24 25 words, sir. THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 26 27 MS. LESSER: I personally feel that I fell down

on this. I feel a great deal of remorse. I retired in June of '09. If I been on the scene as a probation -- active probation supervisor I would've stepped up far more. The Judicial Department did not step up. CSSD, William Carbone, did not respond as he should've appropriately for a valued probation officer.

Alphah had a wonderful history. I was proud to be his supervisor. And I personally feel a great deal of remorse for this. I wish I had still been working. I was in Israel at the time. Thank you for hearing me and thank you for your indulgences.

THE COURT: I appreciate you coming in, ma'am.

Okay. You know, we talk about sidewalks and finding a new sidewalk. There's also called a road to perdition, at least in my mind. I mean, these are serious matters. I spent a lot of time, as you know, up in the Bridgeport area and I did a lot of work with jury tampering and hindering prosecution, bribe receiving and what not during my time up there. It troubles the heck out of me these kinds of cases.

We have an obligation to the people. We all have an obligation to the people. Not just the judge, not just lawyers, everybody has an obligation to the people to advance the common good. We have an obligation to serve the people and advance the common good.

Personal responsibility is gone from our society today. It's just gone. There's never any personal responsibility when somebody's sick, somebody's psychiatrically disabled, somebody has psychological problems, somebody has physical problems, somebody has this, somebody has -- what about the obligation to act as a good human being out there to advance society and do the people's work, which we are all on board to do.

We've gotten so politically correct in our society today that we have forgotten our obligation to advance the people's work. That's what we've come to. That's what our brilliant professors at college teach all of our children, lack of personal responsibility for any and all. We must explore. We must experience. You must learn. Nobody talks about obligations in our society today. It's terribly distressing. It's terribly disconcerting. That's what we've come to in society today.

This is a matter most serious. This is crime most serious. You're entrusted in a special way with the power of the people. And irrespective of what the cause is we've violated that trust. We're endangering the very system that has been developed to protect the good of the people. It is a very, very serious matter indeed.

Now the measure of the man, I understand

everything that's been said. You have been very good. You, Mr. Ford, have provided me with all kinds of information. I know the difficulties the man has had. I'm not unaware of that. I am aware of that.

2.4

2.5

These two good men took that into consideration when they were formulating a possible disposition of the case. There's been a lot of discussion here. I give them a lot of credit as representatives of the people that they tried to factor into this cap sentence a lot of what you talked about. And I think that we've spent an enormous amount of time talking about these things and analyzing these things.

I mean, you've got three really fine men and lawyers here working on a disposition of the case that tried to consider the history of the man and the difficulties he's been through; some of the good things, some of the bad things. They factored all of that into the cap sentence that's to be imposed. So that — the measure of the man has been taken here. There's no question about deterrence and denunciation. The blame lies at his feet and his feet alone.

I'm not sure how the evidence would have worked out at time of trial. I'm not sure whether all of the elements of the crime could've been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, but I agree with your analysis.

You're a very fine defense lawyer. I agree with your

analysis. The likelihood is here it was more likely than not that based upon that telephone call there would have been guilt proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

2.0

2.2

So denunciation and blame lies at his feet. He acknowledges that. I give him credit for that. He does acknowledge that. The rehabilitation, I mean he's been partially through that in the various sidewalks as you have described them or chapters. He's trying to do the best he can in getting his life back together. Hopefully he will avail himself of some of the counseling that's going to be provided to him through the Department of Corrections and get on with his life.

There are certain advantages he's had in his life, certain privileges he's had because he was a very good athlete and -- and took advantage of that. But there are certain faults that lie within his character that we all have. I'm not going to cast the first stone by any stretch at all. I mean, I know what my limitations are. Believe me I know what that road to perdition is. I've been on the wrong sidewalks in my life. I've been there. We all have.

Fortunately for most of us as we get a little older we start to move in the right direction and I -- I think he's starting to get there. And he's suffered a great deal as a result of the difficulties

he has had. There's no question about it. But they've -- the real driver of the case is the protection of the common good as I started my comments off with here.

I mean, we just can't -- we can't have this kind of nonsense in society. Judges can't do this, lawyers can't do this, police officers can't do this, probation officers can't do this. These guys can't do this. They serve me every day. They're good people. They don't violate their trust in me. And if they do, if they make a mistake they come in and apologize to me.

But we all have obligations that we must fulfill. We all must advance that common good that's so important to all of this. This is not a breach of peace case. It's not a disorderly conduct case. This is the real deal. We have breached the public trust. We have breached our fiduciary obligation to the very people that we serve.

A period of incarceration is warranted in this case as a result thereof so that a message is sent that the people of the State of Connecticut do care about these things and can't tolerate these things. So the sentence is imposed in the context of what I said and taking into consideration those criteria.

So with that said, on the underlying charge I will commit him to the custody of the Commissioner of

Corrections for a period of five years. Execution will be suspended after eighteen months. He's placed on three years' probation.

The conditions of probation will be restitution in the amount of thirteen thousand five hundred dollars. Substance abuse evaluation, treatment and counseling including random urines and inpatient treatment if necessary. Psychological/psychiatric treatment and counseling as may be required and he needs to abide by any pharmaceutical regimen that his treatment providers think is necessary in light of the difficulties he's had.

He's to have no contact with the victim or the victim's family in this case. And he's to abide by any other reasonable conditions imposed by Adult Probation during his probationary period. So the total effective sentence will be five after eighteen months three, subject to the conditions outlined by the Court.

I'll be -- close by saying I was very impressed with the way the lawyers handled themselves in this case. Everybody worked hard. You're good men and I give you a lot of credit for all of the things we've talked about here today. It's not easy to do. It's not easy to deal with the man's life in the context in which it was presented to the Court, but you all did a very fine job in that regard. Is there

1	anything else anybody would like to say?
2	ATTY. WHELAN: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Please.
4	ATTY. WHELAN: There is a sec there is a
5	second file pending. The charges all of the
6	charges in that file are nolled.
7	THE COURT: All right. Very well. Nolles noted
8	with regard to the balance. Any anything else?
9	ATTY. FORD: Two things, Your Honor. He has
10	been handed a copy of the the pardons brochure.
11	THE COURT: The clerk
12	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: are you going to hand him that or
14	will hand him that shortly.
15	ATTY. FORD: He has it. Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Great.
17	ATTY. FORD: And finally, Your Honor, I know
18	there's fees and costs associated with probation.
19	Would the Court consider waiving those?
20	THE COURT: In light of all of the circumstances
21	he's been through I'll waive costs and fees.
22	ATTY. FORD: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay? Good luck to you, sir.
24	MR. EAST: Thank you, Your Honor.

* * *

NO: FST-CR10-0124859-T

FST-CR10-0170818-T

: SUPERIOR COURT

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

: GA #01

٧.

: AT STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

ALPHAH EAST

: JUNE 7, 2016

<u>C E R T I F I C A T I O N</u>

I hereby certify the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription of the audio recording of the above-referenced case, heard in Superior Court, Judicial District of Stamford/Norwalk at Stamford, Connecticut, before the Honorable RIChard Comerford, Judge, on June 7, 2016.

Dated July 26, 2016 in Stamford, Connecticut.

Lauren Bedoya
Court Recording Monitor